

**Sender**

Madam President  
Ursula von der Leyen  
Rue de la Loi 200 / Weststraat  
1040 Bruxelles  
Belgium

date

## **European Energy Policy Is a Cause for Great Concern**

**Dear Madam President,**

If this letter reaches you personally – which I sincerely hope – I kindly ask you to read it in its entirety. Your self-description as “European by heart,” as seen on your social media profile, resonates deeply with me. It is also the reason for this letter in light of the current developments.

Among the many pressing issues in Europe, energy policy – particularly in Germany – has become one of the most critical and problematic areas. It must be acknowledged that the foundations of current energy policy were not carefully planned by independent experts, but rather dictated by ideologically driven politicians and their equally ideologized administrative staff. Supporting them were “scientists” commissioned by political or corporate interests, often motivated more by financial gain than by objective analysis.

Every engineer knows that the success of any project depends on careful, expert planning. In Germany and elsewhere, baseload-capable energy sources such as nuclear and coal-fired power plants were decommissioned before viable alternatives were ready. Plans are now underway to phase out gas and oil-fired power plants as well – all in pursuit of the ambitious goal of complete “decarbonization.”

The term *climate change* is now invoked everywhere like a sacred mantra, while the myth of “free” renewable energy continues to be propagated uncritically. Photovoltaics and wind power, along with green hydrogen, are being promoted as the energy sources of the future, and their expansion is accelerating. It is the large-scale use of wind power in particular that deeply concerns me – for fundamental physical reasons.

Energy, by definition, cannot be “renewed”; it can only be converted. If energy were truly renewable, we would have discovered perpetual motion. In the case of wind power, the electrical energy generated by turbines is produced by extracting kinetic energy from moving air masses. This extraction slows down airflow and alters natural processes.

Air consists not only of oxygen and nitrogen but also contains large quantities of invisible water vapor – especially near the ground. As warm air rises, it cools, and the water vapor condenses into fog and clouds. When saturated, it falls as rain. This natural transport of water vapor is vital for the Earth’s climate and weather systems – and yet its disruption by wind energy installations is neither properly studied nor publicly discussed. The effects may be far more significant than currently acknowledged.

This brings me to your responsibility and that of the **European Climate Change Service, Copernicus**. In both 2024 and 2025, Copernicus reported alarming findings: Europe is warming faster than any other continent, and there is a pronounced east–west imbalance in precipitation. Yet fundamental questions remain unanswered. Why is this happening? Why is no one asking these questions – not policymakers, not media, and not even many scientists?

Instead, climate change is used as a blanket explanation. The call to expand wind energy and other so-called renewables grows louder, creating a self-reinforcing cycle of cause and effect. Environmental organizations, political leaders, and even journalists often repeat the same narrative without reflection or critical analysis.

I fear that you, too, may believe the only solution lies in renewables. But this is a dangerous misconception. The spiral is accelerating, and extreme weather events are increasing. Please refer to the linked article for a concrete example: [verlinkten Artikel](#)

Although the true solution is right in front of us, it is being overlooked. The focus on CO<sub>2</sub> has become so dominant that the broader reality is ignored. Meanwhile, CO<sub>2</sub> taxation has created vast financial incentives to maintain the current narrative.

Yet the truth is sobering: in Germany, despite years of effort, we have only managed to substitute around two-thirds of fossil fuels **in the electricity sector alone** – a sector that itself represents just a small portion of overall fossil-based primary energy consumption. Without massive electricity imports from neighboring countries, Germany would already be facing blackouts.

### **Conclusion:**

If the expansion of wind energy continues as planned, it may lead to a **climatic catastrophe**. The droughts and extreme rainfall events we are already witnessing could be early signs of what is to come. You still have the opportunity to halt this course – to prevent enormous financial damage and further destruction of our natural environment. I sincerely appeal to you: please act.

[The linked presentation](#) provides further detail, and the scientific foundations are thoroughly explained in the book by Manfred Brugger: [Windwahn - Der Windwahn und seine klimatischen Konsequenzen](#).

Respectfully,  
[Your Name]